77496

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION WASHINGTON, D.C.

Joint Application of	
AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.; and THE TACA GROUP	Docket OST-00-7088 ~ / <i>G</i>
under 49 U.S.C. 41308 and 41309 for approval of) and antitrust immunity for agreement)	
AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC., et al., and THE TACA GROUP RECIPROCAL CODESHARE SERVICE PROCEEDING)	Docket OST-96-1700 - / 55

JOINT RESPONSE OF THE TACA GROUP AND AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC. TO ANSWER OF CONTINENTAL AIRLINES, INC.

Communications with respect to this document should be addressed to:

For The TACA Group:

ROBERT D. PAPKIN JAMES V. DICK Squire, Sanders & Dempsey, LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 626-6600 (202) 626-6780 (fax)

For American Airlines, Inc.:

HENRY C. JOYNER
Senior Vice President – Planning
American Airlines, Inc.
P.O. Box 619616, MD 5621
DFW Airport, Texas 75261

WILLIAM K. RIS, JR.
Senior Vice President – Government Affairs
American Airlines, Inc.
1101 1 7th Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036

ARNOLD J. GROSSMAN Vice President – International Affairs American Airlines, Inc. P.O. Box 619616, MD 5635 DFW Airport, Texas 75261

J. OTTO GRUNOW

Managing Director – International Affairs
American Airlines, Inc.

P.O. Box 619616, MD 5639 DFW Airport, Texas 75261

CARL B. NELSON, JR.

Associate General Counsel American Airlines, Inc. 1101 1 7th Street, NW Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 496-5647 (202) 857-4246 (fax)

April 20, 2000

BEFORE THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION WASHINGTON, D.C.

Joint Application of)	
AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.; and THE TACA GROUP)	Docket OST-00-7088
under 49 U.S.C. 41308 and 41309 for approva and antitrust immunity for agreement	ol of)	
AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC., et al., and THE TACA GROUP RECIPROCAL CODESHARE SERVICE PROCEEDING))))	Docket OST-96-1700

JOINT RESPONSE OF THE TACA GROUP AND AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC. TO ANSWER OF CONTINENTAL AIRLINES, INC.

Predictably, Continental Airlines, Inc. ("Continental") enthusiastically supports the Motion of Delta Air Lines, Inc. ("Delta") to burden The TACA Group ("TACA") and American Airlines, Inc. ("American") with additional evidence requests in connection with the captioned joint applications. See Answer of Continental Airlines, Inc. to Motion ("Continental's Answer"), filed April 12, 2000. Like Delta, Continental never misses an opportunity to frustrate and delay the implementation of a viable TACA/American alliance, even though their tactics inevitably prolong the day when consumers in U.S.-Central America markets will receive the full benefits of the Open Skies agreements signed in May of 1997.

To the extent that Continental's Answer is simply a "me, too" of Delta's request, TACA and American will rest upon their "Joint Answer of the TACA Group and American Airlines, Inc. to Motion of Delta Air Lines, Inc." ("TACA/American Joint Answer"), filed April 12, 2000. Regrettably, however, Continental's Answer goes beyond even what Delta would impose on TACA and American, and asks the Department to impose eight further requests for documents and information. This Joint Response will focus on the additional eight requests that Continental would have the Department propound.

Continental first asks that TACA and American explain the basis for their claim that the blocked-space condition in Order 98-5-26 is "entirely unworkable" in SABRE, and that they provide ridiculously detailed information and documents relating to that blocked-space condition and to blocked-space arrangements generally. Continental's Answer, at 3. As American previously stated, the blocked-space condition imposed in Order 98-5-26 has proven to be unworkable because of architectural limitations in the SABRE passenger processing system, and the prohibitive cost of removing those limitations to accommodate a blocked-space requirement for a handful of city-pairs. Indeed, American does not currently maintain a hard-block codeshare arrangement in any international market, and the overall industry trend clearly does not favor such arrangements. There is no reason other than harassment to require American and TACA to produce anything more on this subject.

Continental next asks that TACA and American specify whether an antitrust-immunized alliance between them would be exclusive and, if so, how. The answer, as the applicants previously explained in the TACA/American Joint Answer, is that the alliance would <u>not</u> be exclusive. The precise manner in which it could be implemented with another U.S. carrier, however, is far too hypothetical and speculative a question to answer at this time.

Continental further asks that TACA and American produce any documents prepared by or for American that "discuss any potential change in the alliance between American and the TACA Group if the TACA Group were to codeshare with another U.S. airline." Continental's Answer at 4. There are no such documents.

Asserting that "the following information has been required by the Department in other antitrust immunity proceedings, and should be required here" — without giving any other reason why it "should be required here" — Continental next asks the Department to impose five further sets of requests on TACA and American. Continental's Answer at 4.

 Continental wants American to produce any documents reflecting its consideration of whether to seek antitrust immunity for additional alliances on U.S.-Latin American routes.

This request would represent a counterproductive diversion from the Department's appropriate inquiry. As Continental well knows, business plans and intentions often change dramatically between concept and execution, and they are often never implemented at all. If and when American seeks antitrust immunity for any additional alliances in Latin America, the Department can and will evaluate those applications in light of its alliance with TACA, among many other factors.

• Continental wants the Department to require American and TACA to produce revenue forecasts (and related material) for their codeshare operations with and without immunity.

As TACA and American stated in the TACA/American Joint Answer, they do not possess any such forecasts. Developing them now, moreover, would be an exceedingly burdensome, costly, and complicated endeavor. Continental is capable of generating such forecasts on its own if it genuinely believes they would be useful to the evaluation of the proposed transactions.

• Continental also seeks all documents prepared by or for American or TACA that address route development, internal expansion, service expansion, or marketing plans and strategy for providing air service between the U.S. and Central America and behind and beyond the U.S. and Central America.

These documents would provide Continental with perhaps the most competitively-sensitive information it could possibly obtain from TACA and American. Such information would have very substantial competitive value to Continental, but it has little or no relevance to the Department's evaluation of the proposed transactions.

• Continental would also have American and TACA produce any documents that address "any preference for Miami as a U.S. gateway for Central America traffic or the competitive obstacles faced by other U.S. gateways." Continental's Answer, at 5.

American's and TACA's subjective perspectives on the Miami gateway relative to other U.S. gateways are, again, fundamentally irrelevant. Objectively-measured passenger statistics and trends are what is significant, not the changeable and often biased opinions of particular carriers. Having once talked of Miami as a fortress hub, for example, Delta now claims that Atlanta is the fastest-growing gateway to Latin America, and predicts that Miami's significance as a Latin American gateway "will continue to shrink." *Aviation Daily*, "Latin America is Delta's Top Priority for Growth, Profits," April 12, 2000 (attached to the TACA/American Joint Answer).

• Finally, Continental wants TACA and American to produce all of their documents that discuss the availability of, or anticipated changes in, airport gates, facilities, and/or slots at any of the points served by TACA in Central America.

TACA represented in its earlier filings in Docket OST-96-1700 that air transportation to the Central American cities served by TACA is not constrained by any lack of available gates, facilities, or slots. This representation was true in 1997-98 and it remains true today. Even if there were any documents responsive to this request – which there are not – they would simply confirm TACA's earlier representation.

Continental's putative requests, in short, are as bogus as Delta's. The Department should flatly reject the concerted efforts of these carriers to block a meaningful alliance between TACA and American, and to gain unfair competitive advantages in U.S.-Central American markets.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert D. Papkin

James V. Dick

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey, LLP

120 1 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, D.C. 200004 (202) 626-6600

(202) 626-6780 (fax)

Counsel for THE TACA GROUP

Carl B. Nelson, Jr.

AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.

Associate General Counsel

110117th Street, NW

Suite 600

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 496-5647

(202) 857-4246 (fax)

April 20, 2000

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document by first-class mail on all persons named on the attached service list.

James V. Dick

April 20, 2000

R. Bruce Keiner Crowell & Moring LLP 100 1 Pennsylvania Ave., N. W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Jeffrey A. Manley Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering 2445 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Robert E. Cohn Shaw Pittman 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Megan Rae Rosia Associate General Counsel Northwest Airlines, Inc. 90 1 15th Street, N. W. Suite 3 10 Washington, D.C. 20005

Glenn P. Wicks
The Wicks Group, Inc.
1700 N. Moore Street, Suite 1650
Arlington, VA 22209

Robert P. Silverberg Bagileo, Silverberg & Bikoff, LLP 1101 30th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

John E. Gillick
Winthrop, Stimson, Putnam
& Roberts
1133 Connecticut Ave., N. W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Marshall S. Sinick Squire, Sanders & Dempsey 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20004 John L. Richardson Crispin & Brenner, P.L.L.C. 1100 New York Ave., N.W. Suite 850 Washington, D.C. 20005

Mark W. Atwood Sher & Blackwell 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20036

Allan W. Markham 2733 36th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20007

Aaron A. Goerlich Boros & Garofalo, P.C. 120 1 Connecticut Ave. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036

William H. Callaway, Jr. Zuckert, Scoutt & Rasenberger, L.L.P. 888 17th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

R. Tenney Johnson 2121 K Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20037

Richard P. Taylor Steptoe & Johnson LLP 1330 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Nathaniel P. Breed, Jr. Shaw Pittman 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037 Jeffrey N. Shane Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering 2445 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Allan I. Mendelsohn Mendelsohn & Symkowicz 1233 20th Street, N. W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036

Suzette Matthews Bernstein & Matthews 5649 John Barton Payne Rd. Marshall, VA 22115

Kevin P. Montgomery Polar Air Cargo 121517th Street, N. W. Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20036

Elijah Jackson President Prestige Airways 9815 Godwin Drive Manassas, VA 22110

Donald D. Ryan President Ryan Int'l Airlines 6810 West Kellogg Wichita, KS 67209

Pierre Murphy 2445 M Street, N.W. Suite 260 Washington, D.C. 20037

Stephen L. Gelband Hewes, Gelband, Lambert & Dann, P.C. 1000 Potomac Street, N.W. Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20007 David L. Vaughan Kelley, Drye & Warren, LLP 120019th Street, N. W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036

Richard D. Mathias Zuckert, Scoutt & Rasen berger, L.L.P. 88817th Street, N. W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Julie Sorenson Sande World Airways, Inc. 13878 Park Center Rd. Suite 490 Herndon, VA 22071

U.S. Transcom/TCJ5 Attn: Air Mobility Analysis 608 Scott Drive Scott AFB, IL 62225

Roger W. Fones Antitrust Division Department of Justice 325 7th Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20530

John R. Brimsek Mullenholz, Brimsek Belair 1150 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036

Donald T. Bliss O'Melveny & Myers 555 13th Street, N.W. Suite 500-W Washington, D.C. 20004

Federal Aviation Administration Flight Standards 800 Independence Ave., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20591